



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,132	03/30/2000	Timothy Francis McDonough	CMCDO.00001	8936

7590                    05/14/2002

Rudolph J. Buchel Jr.  
7113 Dobbins Drive  
Plano, TX 75025

EXAMINER

FELTEN, DANIEL S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3624	

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/539/32	<i>McDonough</i>
	Examiner <i>Daniel Felten</i>	Group Art Unit 362Y

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rudolph J. Buchel (Reg No. 43,448) (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Daniel Felten (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 05-08-2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-155 in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

*A general overview of the invention was presented to clarify some of the differences between a Futures Exchange for Trading Commodity Contracts and a Futures Exchange for Trading Service Contracts. It was agreed further search is needed.*

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

VINCENT MILLIN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

*362D*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.